

Application Ref **3PL/2019/0471/O**

Name Griselda Hubbard
Address Mrs G Hubbard
 21 South Green Gardens
 Dereham
 Norfolk

 NR19 1PY

Comments A Billingford Parish Councillor wishes to comment as follows:-
 The proposal should not be permitted as Billingford has no
 development boundary. 9 houses is too large a development as a % of
 the number of existing houses in Billingford and furthermore a
 development proposal for an adjacent site for 1 house has already
 been turned down. I do not think that this proposal is appropriate for
 old people as the village has no public transport, no shop and no
 doctor's surgery and it would be very isolating.

Date Entered 10-06-2019

Comments from a Billingford Parish Councillor on Planning Application 3PL/2019/0471/O

This application does not comply with the Billingford Plan CP1, CP4 and CP10. It conflicts with CP11 as it would harm the landscape. This is agricultural land which is needed for that purpose:- see National Frameworks – shortage of this type of land in the U.K. This is not a viable proposition as there are no suitable facilities in Billingford such as a shop, Post Office or even a Public House. The bus service is sparse at the best of times and there is already pressure on local Medical Services.

Comments from another Billingford Parish Councillor

The planning application will not help the problem of having no youngsters in the Village. It would be more acceptable if it was for 5 older persons properties and 4 Starter Homes.

Date: 28 May 2019 at 07:22

Subject: RE: Planning Consultation PC 3PL/2019/0471/O Response

This cannot be accepted for these reasons taken from the Breckland Plan

Billingford has no development boundary so there is no such permitted building.

This does not comply with the Breckland plan policies CP1, CP4, CP10

It conflicts with policy CP11 as it would harm the landscape.

For Billingford there is no specific growth level and this application therefore conflicts with policy CP 14.

There are several other reasons

This site is agricultural land which should be retained because in the National Planning Frameworks it is recognised there is a shortage in the UK.

The tenant who has farmed it was apparently locked out of the site.

Breckland has already previously turned down an application for only 1 residence on an adjacent site so for consistence this should also be rejected.

The document produced by the government on housing for elderly people (provided with the application) clearly argues against sites such as Billingford on grounds of isolation, lack of infrastructure and pressure on medical services.

The proposal is clearly against the type of private building in Billingford carried on in previous years which has largely been replacement and renovation of existing buildings to provide for self supporting families, the self employed and those working from home.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From:

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 2:09:52 PM

To: ;
